Peatland Restoration for Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction and Carbon Sequestration in the Baltic Sea Region LIFE PeatCarbon

Greenhouse Gas Flux Monitoring in Finland (WP3)

Sari Juutinen, Jack Chapman, Mika Aurela, Jenni Hultman, Aino Korrensalo, Annalea Lohila, Päivi Mäkiranta, and Tuula Aalto











April 2025

Fhank you! Inka Mella, Henriikka Rokkonen, Riina-Kaisa Ruokola, Katri Pasanen, Sami Suopajärvi, Juuso Rainne, and Timo Mäkelä

Background

- In Finland, about half of the original peatland area has been drained for forestry (largely in 1950-1980)
- Drainage of peatlands risk the long-term carbon storage accumulated over the millennia
 - lower water level
 - increased CO2 release from peat due to aerated conditions and tilling etc.
 - decreased CH4 emissions
 - increased N2O emissions in nutrient rich conditions
- Restoration via rewetting aims to return the original water saturated conditions
 - original hydrology and plant assemblages
 - decrease decomposition of the old peat and CO2 release to the atmosphere
 - return CH₄ dynamics
 - N2O fluxes?





Objectives of the GHG monitoring

- Assess the annual estimates of ecosystem carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and nitrous oxide (N₂O) balances before and after the peatland restoration (rewetting)
- Provide knowledge of post-restoration ecosystem succession and data to develop emission factors for rewetted managed lands



Timeline We are here Aug-Dec 2022 Jan-Jun 2023 Jul-Dec 2023 Jan-Jun 2024 Jul-Dec 2024 Jan-Jun 2025 Jul-Dec 2025 Jan-Jun 2026 Jul-Dec 2026 Jan-Jul 2027 Comíng years-decades Post-restoration succession Restoration Síte establíshment Chamber measurements of CO2, CH4, N2O ? Eddy covariance measurements of ecosystem CO2 exchnage Revisit Plant community analyses and land-cover mapping Revisit GHG flux data processing and modeling Revisit the asessment Assement of the initial GHG impco





Two forestry drained peatlands were restored in 2024





GHG Flux Monitoring Fall 2022 onwards

Välisuo

Matorovansuo



X chamber measurement plots (n=3/plot)
O ditch plots (n=3/plot)
□ Reference plot (n=3)
★ Eddy covariance measurement of CO₂ exchange

- GHG fluxes at 60 points fortnightly (monthly in snow period)
 - Incl. 12 trenched points, 15 ditch points, and 24 points of net ecosystem CO₂ exchange measurements
 - Species-level leaf area index measurements (fortnightly), plant species abundance (2023 & 2026), & frequent water chemistry data collection
 - EC measurements of ecosystem CO2 exchange incl. meteo data : preliminary data screening and postprocessing (2023-2024),
 - Post-restoration tree survey in 2024, above-ground and b-g biomass sampling/analyses in 2023
 - Pine LAI models and estimates in 2023-24

Vegetation distribution across the peatlands (> 200 points)

Well drained forested, moderately drained open, and only slightly affected habitats



DCA 1



- Plots were grouped into habitats based on species (cluster analysis)
- GHG flux upscaling can be based on spatial distribution of these habitats





- Pleurozium hummocks understory, well drained & treed
 - *Trichophorum* lawndrained flarks
 - *S. fuscum* hummocks –a feature of pristine aapa mires
- Flark very wet, mosses, only little vascular plants



GHG flux measurement points represent the overall vegetation across the peatlanlands

Vegetation inventory points

GHG flux measurement points



ILMATIETEEN LAITOS METEOROLOGISKA INSTITUTET FINNISH METEOROLOGICAL INSTITUTE

11

pH and water level in the GHG flux measurement points by habitat



- Gradients in pH and WT
- GHG flux upscaling can be based on spatial distribution of these habitats



Distribution of the habitat-specific CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O fluxes (pre-restoration)



- Large microtopographic and WT variation within habitats
- Well drained habitats released slightly more CO2 than their less aftected counterparts
- The drained habitats and high hummocks had the lowest CH4 release, however, all habitats were mainly CH4 sources
- N2O fluxes were small, although the well drained peatland forest had both the smallest (influx) and largest fluxes

Eddy covariance measurements of CO2 exchnage



- Pine LAI in the survey plots
- well drained and less affected source areas



Sectors



May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Month (May 2023-Dec 2024)

₹

gross photosynthesis and • respiration were larger in the peatland forest than in the open peatland



un)

Effect of restoration on ecosystem CO₂ exchange?



- too early to conclude anything, however,
 - "well drained" was a smaller net C sink than the open "less affected" area
 - photosynthesis decreased (harvests)
 - respiration was still large (root residues, harvest residues)
- C-pool of the trees remains at the site



Monitoring and data processing will be continued

start of the summer program launch in the end of May



Aug-Dec 2022 jan-jun 2023 jul-Dec 2023 jan-jun 2024 jul-Dec 2024 jan-jun 2025 jul-Dec 2025 jan-jun 2026 jul-Dec 2026 jan-jul 2027 | Coming yea

	_		Restoration	Post-restoration succession			
Síte establíshment	Site establishment						
Chamber measurements of CO2, CH4, N2O							
Eddy covariance measurements of ecosystem CO2 exchnage							Rev
		Plant community analyses and land-cover mapping					Rev
GHG flux data processing and modeling							
						Assement of the initial GHG impos	Revisit the

Thank you





